

99/2008/RR (308) November 6, 2008

Analyst: Adrian Kyrzcz, a.kyrzcz@idmsa.pl, +48 (22) 489 94 74

PBG

Sector: Construction
Fundamental rating: Hold (→)
Market relative: Overweight (→)
Price: PLN 198.3
12M EFV: PLN 305.0 (→)

Market Cap.: US\$ 966 m
Reuters code: PBGG.WA
Av. daily turnover: US\$ 1.98 m
Free float: 67%
12M range: PLN 180.00-345.00

Investment story

PBG constitutes probably the best vehicle to capture the growth in the influx of EU funds. Please note that next three years should bring spending on environmental protection at a level of c. PLN 51 billion. Around half of that should constitute investments in the sewage disposal and water protection, which drive the Company's results (due to high exposure to EU funds, PBG's future results appear to be largely independent from the GDP growth rate). We believe PBG should maintain its leading market position as far as the execution of environmental protection and hydro construction contracts is concerned. Moreover, entering the road construction business allows the Company to unlock additional value from realization of complex projects, in our view. The high growth potential of the Company is reinforced by its M&A strategy. We believe next year may bring further acquisitions. We like PBG's foreign expansion (Gas & Oil Engineering in Slovakia, Excan Oil and Gas Engineering in Canada) which, we believe, is the way to build a reference for foreign expansion once the flow of EU funds declines. We also like the Company's expanding portfolio of lucrative gas & oil contracts which should enable PBG to successively improve its margins. Finally, we see some triggers on the horizon which should be supportive for PBG's share price performance.

Quarterly results corner; 3Q08 review

PBG published its 3Q08 consolidated results on November 6, after the closing of the session. The Company's 3Q08 sales and net profit proved to be in line with the market consensus, while the operating profit outperformed the market expectations by c. 7% (regarding the details please refer to *Figure 1* below).

PBG increased its quarterly sales yoy by impressive 51%, due to fat portfolio of environmental protection contracts (these contracts continued to generate over 50% of the Company's quarterly sales). Strong top line, together with yoy growth of the Company's operating margin (from 9.2% in 3Q07 to 10.6% in 3Q08) translated into an impressive 75% yoy growth of the operating profit, which reached PLN 57.8 million (exceeding the market consensus and our forecast by c. 7% and 16%, respectively). We see the following reasons for the yoy margin

Key data

IFRS consolidated		2007	2008E	2009E	2010E
Sales	PLN m	1,377.3	2,110.0	2,692.4	3,559.4
EBITDA	PLN m	136.3	269.6	361.1	509.2
EBIT	PLN m	106.3	240.1	329.4	475.9
Net profit	PLN m	101.8	151.2	206.0	307.9
EPS	PLN	7.58	11.26	15.34	22.92
EPS yoy chng	%	68	49	36	49
Net debt	PLN m	257.4	531.5	533.5	551.6
P/E	x	26.2	17.6	12.9	8.6
P/CE	x	20.2	14.7	11.2	7.8
EV/EBITDA	x	21.4	11.8	8.9	6.3
EV/EBIT	x	27.5	13.3	9.7	6.8
EV/Sales	x	2.1	1.5	1.2	0.9
Gross dividend yield	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No. of shares (eop)	ths.	13,430	13,430	13,430	13,430

Source: Company, DM IDMSA estimates

Stock performance



Source: ISI

Upcoming events

1. GUS: October construction output data release: November 20
2. GUS: November construction output data release: December 18

Catalysts

1. Signing new large environmental protection contracts co-financed by EU
2. Signing new LT and high-margin gas&oil contracts
3. Signing contracts related to EURO 2012 (stadiums)

Risk factors

1. FX exposure (most of environmental protection contracts are EUR-denominated)
2. Prolonging administrative procedures may negatively affect smooth absorption of EU funds' inflow by investors (public sector)
3. Hike of financial costs

Fig. 1 PBG; 3Q08 results compared to expectations

IFRS consolidated PLN m	3Q08A	3Q07A	yoy change	3Q08 market consensus	3Q08E IDM	3Q08A vs. consensus/IDM
Sales	543.2	360.2	51%	523.0	508.5	→↑
EBIT	57.8	32.9	75%	53.9	49.7	↑↑
NI	34.5	20.8	66%	33.8	25.5	→↑↑

Source: Company, PAP, DM IDMSA estimates

increase in 3Q08: (i) low base (additional PLN 12 million costs related to 'Kraków Płaszów' contract in the base period), (ii) better quality of the current contract portfolio (please note that Hydrobudowa 9, a subsidiary of PBG, reached its BEP in 3Q08), (iii) falling share of the overhead costs in the Company's quarterly sales (this trend has been visible in the Company's results for past couple of quarters and stems from positive effects of intra group synergies and effects of scale), and finally (iv) decrease of the construction material prices. The Company's 3Q08 pre-tax profit increased by 85% yoy (to PLN 54.1 million) on the back of yoy decline of financial costs. At the bottom line, in turn, the Company recorded 'only' 66% yoy growth, due to growing minorities' share in the Group's profits (please note that 'water' contracts are executed by Hydrobudowa Polska, which is a 61% subsidiary of PBG). Summing up, PBG's 3Q08 financial posting is strong, with material yoy growth of profits, yet broadly in line with the market expectations. Consequently, the market reaction to such financial posting should be rather neutral, we believe.

Financial forecast

We keep our financial forecast for the Company intact.

Valuation

Our 12M EFV for PBG continues to dwell at PLN 305 per share.

Recommendation

We keep our LT fundamental Hold recommendation for PBG (the Company's equities are priced with a premium to the local peers, which we deem justified). Given the Company's short-term outlook, we see a few triggers, mostly in the form of: (i) large contracts, which are – the way we see it – likely to be won by PBG (waste incineration plants in Kraków and Poznań, lucrative gas tenders, which are likely to be announced by KRI and PGNiG, stadiums), or (ii) strong 4Q08 financial posting expected. Consequently, our ST market-relative Overweight bias for PBG's equities remains unchanged.

BASIC DEFINITIONS

A/R turnover (in days) = $365 / (\text{sales} / \text{average A/R})$

Inventory turnover (in days) = $365 / (\text{COGS} / \text{average inventory})$

A/P turnover (in days) = $365 / (\text{COGS} / \text{average A/P})$

Current ratio = $(\text{current assets} - \text{ST deferred assets}) / \text{current liabilities}$

Quick ratio = $(\text{current assets} - \text{ST deferred assets} - \text{inventory}) / \text{current liabilities}$

Interest coverage = $(\text{pre-tax profit before extraordinary items} + \text{interest payable}) / \text{interest payable}$

Gross margin = $\text{gross profit} / \text{sales}$

EBITDA margin = $\text{EBITDA} / \text{sales}$

EBIT margin = $\text{EBIT} / \text{sales}$

Pre-tax margin = $\text{pre-tax profit} / \text{sales}$

Net margin = $\text{net profit} / \text{sales}$

ROE = $\text{net profit} / \text{average equity}$

ROA = $(\text{net income} + \text{interest payable}) / \text{average assets}$

EV = $\text{market capitalization} + \text{interest bearing debt} - \text{cash and equivalents}$

EPS = $\text{net profit} / \text{no. of shares outstanding}$

CE = $\text{net profit} + \text{depreciation}$

Dividend yield (gross) = $\text{pre-tax DPS} / \text{stock market price}$

Cash sales = $\text{accrual sales corrected for the change in A/R}$

Cash operating expenses = $\text{accrual operating expenses corrected for the changes in inventories and A/P, depreciation, cash taxes and changes in the deferred taxes}$

DM IDM S.A. generally values the covered non bank companies via two methods: comparative method and DCF method (discounted cash flows). The advantage of the former is the fact that it incorporates the current market assessment of the value of the company's peers. The weakness of the comparative method is the risk that the valuation benchmark may be mispriced. The advantage of the DCF method is its independence from the current market valuation of the comparable companies. The weakness of this method is its high sensitivity to undertaken assumptions, especially those related to the residual value calculation. Please note that we also resort to other valuation techniques (e.g. NAV-, DDM- or SOTP-based), should it prove appropriate in a given case.

KEY TO INVESTMENT RANKINGS

This is a guide to expected price performance in absolute terms over the next 12 months:

Buy – fundamentally undervalued (upside to 12M EFV in excess of the cost of equity) + catalysts which should close the valuation gap identified;

Hold – either (i) fairly priced, or (ii) fundamentally undervalued/overvalued but lacks catalysts which could close the valuation gap;

Sell – fundamentally overvalued (12M EFV < current share price + 1-year cost of equity) + catalysts which should close the valuation gap identified.

This is a guide to expected relative price performance:

Overweight – expected to perform better than the benchmark (WIG) over the next quarter in relative terms

Neutral – expected to perform in line with the benchmark (WIG) over the next quarter in relative terms

Underweight – expected to perform worse than the benchmark (WIG) over the next quarter in relative terms

The recommendation tracker presents the performance of DM IDMSA's recommendations. A recommendation expires on the day it is altered or on the day 12 months after its issuance, whichever comes first. Relative performance compares the rate of return on a given recommended stock in the period of the recommendation's validity (i.e. from the date of issuance to the date of alteration or – in case of maintained recommendations – from the date of issuance to the current date) in a relation to the rate of return on the benchmark in this time period. The WIG index constitutes the benchmark. For recommendations that expire by an alteration or are maintained, the ending values used to calculate their absolute and relative performance are: the stock closing price on the day the recommendation expires/ is maintained and the closing value of the benchmark on that date. For recommendations that expire via a passage of time, the ending values used to calculate their absolute and relative performance are: the average of the stock closing prices for the day the recommendation elapses and four directly preceding sessions and the average of the benchmark's closing values for the day the recommendation expires and four directly preceding sessions.

Banks

Net Interest Margin (NIM) = $\text{net interest income} / \text{average assets}$

NIM Adjusted = $(\text{net interest income adjusted for SWAPs}) / \text{average assets}$

Non interest income = $\text{fees \& commissions} + \text{result on financial operations (trading gains)} + \text{FX gains}$

Interest Spread = $(\text{interest income} / \text{average interest earning assets}) / (\text{interest cost} / \text{average interest bearing liabilities})$

Cost/Income = $(\text{general costs} + \text{depreciation} + \text{other operating costs}) / (\text{profit on banking activity} + \text{other operating income})$

ROE = $\text{net profit} / \text{average equity}$

ROA = $\text{net income} / \text{average assets}$

Non performing loans (NPL) = loans in 'substandard', 'doubtful' and 'lost' categories

NPL coverage ratio = $\text{loan loss provisions} / \text{NPL}$

Net provision charge = $\text{provisions created} - \text{provisions released}$

DM IDM S.A. generally values the covered banks via two methods: comparative method and fundamental target fair P/E and target fair P/BV multiples method. The advantage of the former is the fact that it incorporates the current market assessment of the value of the company's peers. The weakness of the comparative method is the risk that the valuation benchmark may be mispriced. The advantage of the fundamental target fair P/E and target fair P/BV multiples method is its independence of the current market valuation of the comparable companies. The weakness of this method is its high sensitivity to undertaken assumptions, especially those related to the residual value calculation.

Assumptions used in valuation can change, influencing thereby the level of the valuation. Among the most important assumptions are: GDP growth, forecasted level of inflation, changes in interest rates and currency prices, employment level and change in wages, demand on the analysed company products, raw material prices, competition, standing of the main customers and suppliers, legislation changes, etc.

Changes in the environment of the analysed company are monitored by analysts involved in the preparation of the recommendation, estimated, incorporated in valuation and published in the recommendation whenever needed.

LT fundamental recommendation tracker

Recommendation		Issue date	Reiteration date	Expiry date	Performance	Relative performance	Price at issue/reiteration (PLN)	12M EFV (PLN)	
PBG									
Hold	-	06.02.2008	-	Not later than 06.02.2009	-30%	21%	281.60	319.00	-
-	→	-	17.02.2008	-	-	-	317.90	319.00	→
-	→	-	21.02.2008	-	-	-	326.70	352.00	↑
-	→	-	30.03.2008	-	-	-	325.00	358.00	↑
-	→	-	14.04.2008	-	-	-	311.00	357.00	↓
-	→	-	29.04.2008	-	-	-	318.00	361.00	↑
-	→	-	15.05.2008	-	-	-	315.00	361.00	→
-	→	-	01.06.2008	-	-	-	318.00	361.00	→
-	→	-	29.06.2008	-	-	-	268.00	356.00	↓
-	→	-	08.07.2008	-	-	-	238.00	348.00	↓
-	→	-	28.07.2008	-	-	-	245.00	348.00	→
-	→	-	04.08.2008	-	-	-	248.00	348.00	→
-	→	-	31.08.2008	-	-	-	238.00	348.00	→
-	→	-	28.09.2008	-	-	-	232.00	348.00	→
-	→	-	19.10.2008	-	-	-	192.10	305.00	↓
-	→	-	29.10.2008	-	-	-	191.50	305.00	→
-	→	-	06.11.2008	-	-	-	198.30	305.00	→

Market-relative recommendation tracker

Relative recommendation		Issue date	Reiteration date	Expiry date	Price at issue/reiteration (PLN)	Relative performance
PBG						
Overweight	-	06.02.2008	-	not later than 06.02.2009	281.60	21%
-	→	-	17.02.2008	-	317.90	-
-	→	-	21.02.2008	-	326.70	-
-	→	-	30.03.2008	-	325.00	-
-	→	-	14.04.2008	-	311.00	-
-	→	-	29.04.2008	-	318.00	-
-	→	-	15.05.2008	-	315.00	-
-	→	-	01.06.2008	-	318.00	-
-	→	-	29.06.2008	-	268.00	-
-	→	-	08.07.2008	-	238.00	-
-	→	-	28.07.2008	-	245.00	-
-	→	-	04.08.2008	-	248.00	-
-	→	-	31.08.2008	-	238.00	-
-	→	-	28.09.2008	-	232.00	-
-	→	-	19.10.2008	-	192.10	-
-	→	-	29.10.2008	-	191.50	-
-	→	-	06.11.2008	-	198.30	-

Distribution of IDM's current recommendations

	Buy	Hold	Sell	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	17	26	4	1	0
Percentage	35%	54%	8%	2%	0%

Distribution of IDM's current recommendations for companies that were within the last 12M IDM customers in investment banking

	Buy	Hold	Sell	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	2	3	0	1	0
Percentage	33%	50%	0%	17%	0%

Distribution of IDM's current market relative recommended weightings

	Overweight	Neutral	Underweight	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	19	15	13	1	0
Percentage	40%	31%	27%	2%	0%

Distribution of IDM's current market relative recommended weightings for the companies that were within the last 12M IDM customers in investment banking

	Overweight	Neutral	Underweight	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	3	2	0	1	0
Percentage	50%	33%	0%	17%	0%

Institutional sales

Director – **Dariusz Wareluk**
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 12
d.wareluk@idmsa.pl

Leszek Mackiewicz

tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 23
l.mackiewicz@idmsa.pl

Maciej Bąk

tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 14
m.bak@idmsa.pl

Bartosz Zieliński

tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 13
b.zielinski@idmsa.pl

Research

Sobiesław Pająk, CFA
(IT, Media, Equity strategy)

tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 70
s.pajak@idmsa.pl

Sylwia Jaśkiewicz, CFA

(Construction materials, Retail, Mid-caps)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 78
s.jaskiewicz@idmsa.pl

Maciej Wewiórski

(Commodities, Construction, Real estate)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 62
m.wewiorski@idmsa.pl

Michał Sobolewski

(Banks)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 77
m.sobolewski@idmsa.pl

Jakub Viscardi

(Telco, Retail)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 69
j.viscardi@idmsa.pl

Adrian Kyrzcz

(Construction)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 74
a.kyrzcz@idmsa.pl

Łukasz Prokopiuk

(Associate)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 72
l.prokopiuk@idmsa.pl

Marek Kaźmierczak

(Associate)
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 78
m.kazmierczak@idmsa.pl

This report is for information purposes only. Neither the information nor the opinions expressed in the report constitute a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any securities referred herein. The opinions expressed in the report reflect independent, current judgement of DM IDM S.A. Securities. This report was prepared with due diligence and scrutiny. The information used in the report is based on all public sources such as press and branch publications, company's financial statements, current and periodic reports, as well as meetings and telephone conversations with company's representatives. We believe the above mentioned sources of information to be reliable, however we do not guarantee their accuracy and completeness. All estimates and opinions included in the report represent our judgment as of the date of the issue. The legal entity supervising DM IDM S.A. is Financial Supervision Commission in Warsaw (KNF in Polish abbreviation).

IDM does not take any responsibility for decisions taken on the basis of this report and opinions stated in it. Investors bear all responsibility for investment decisions taken on the basis of the contents of this report. The report is intended exclusively for private use of investors – customers of IDM. No part or excerpt of the report may be redistributed, reproduced or conveyed in any manner or form written or oral without the prior written consent of IDM. This report is released to customers the moment it is issued and the whole report is made available to the public one month after the issuance.

The analyst(s) responsible for covering the securities in this report receives compensation based upon the overall profitability of IDM which includes profits derived from investment banking activities, although the analyst compensation is not directly related thereto.

IDM releases analytical reports via mail or electronic mail to selected clients (professional clients).

Apart from mentioned above, there are no ties of any kind between DM IDM S.A., the analyst/analysts involved in the preparation of the report and his/her relatives and the company/companies analyzed in this publication, especially in the form of: i) offering of financial instruments in the primary market or/and Initial Public Offer within 12 months preceding the issue of this report, ii) purchasing and selling of financial instruments for own account due to tasks connected with organization of the regulated market, iii) purchasing and selling of financial instruments due to underwriting agreements and iv) the role of a market maker for securities analysed by IDM. The analysed company/companies does/do not possess DM IDM S.A. shares.

IDM has not signed with the company/companies any contracts for recommendation writing. Investors should assume that DM IDM S.A. is seeking or will seek business relationships with the company/companies described in this report. The report was not shown to the analyzed company/companies before the distribution of the report to clients.