

Sector: Construction  
Fundamental rating: Hold (→)  
Market relative: Overweight (→)  
Price: PLN 238.0  
12M EFV: PLN 348.0 (↓)

Market Cap.: US\$ 1,522 m  
Reuters code: PBGG.WA  
Av. daily turnover: US\$ 2.02 m  
Free float: 67%  
12M range: PLN 238.00-399.00

## Quarterly results corner; 2Q08E preview

PBG's consolidated 2Q08 results – to be released on August 13 – are likely to be rather mediocre, we believe (regarding the details please refer to *Figure 1* on the next page). Due to expanding portfolio of the environmental protection contracts we forecast the Company's quarterly sales to reach c. PLN 528.9 million, a 68% yoy increase which would be much above the market's dynamics (in April and May the construction output grew yoy by 23% and 16.6%, respectively). However, such an impressive yoy pace of growth is unlikely to recur at the gross profit on sales level, we believe. Specifically, we envisage erosion of the Company's gross profit on sales margin (11.2% in 2Q08E vs. 16.3% in 2Q07) which will result from three reasons, in our view. First, Hydrobudowa 9, PBG's 69% subsidiary, puts a drag on the Company's results (this company is still executing some old long-term contracts realizing 0% gross profit on sales margin on them). Second, appreciation of PLN vs. EUR will take its toll (PBG represents strong FX exposure, as most of environmental protection contracts are EUR-denominated). Third, in our opinion in 2Q08 the Company and its subsidiaries (e.g. Hydrobudowa Polska) had to rely in a larger degree on subcontractors, which enabled the Company to pump up its contract portfolio and accelerate its sales, however at the cost of somewhat lower profitability. Consequently, we forecast the Company's gross profit on sales at PLN 59.2 million, only a 16% yoy increase.

We forecast PBG's operating profit to grow by c. 37% yoy to PLN 43.3 million, however with the driving force in the form of an one-off item, we believe. Specifically, PBG is likely to show c. PLN 13.5 million profit on revaluation of land (c. 8.7 ths sq m) situated in Poznań. At the pre-tax level we forecast only a slight 3% yoy increase (to PLN 42.3 million), due to higher leverage and, consequently, higher financial costs in 2Q08 vs. 2Q07 (please note, however, that higher yoy financial costs in 2Q08 should be partially offset by profits from FX hedging). Regarding the Company's quarterly bottom line, in turn, we forecast a 13% decrease to PLN 27.7 million. Please note, however, that the straightforward comparison might be misleading as PBG recognized deferred tax assets in its P&L account for 2Q07, while in our 2Q08 quarterly results forecast we assume a standard statutory 19% effective tax rate.

To sum up, the Company's 2Q08 are unlikely to be inspiring. Although PBG is to post impressive revenues (68% growth yoy), due to the rising cost base, unfavorable FX changes, as well as mediocre earnings quality (non-cash one-off gain on revaluation of land), we expect the Company's consolidated 2Q08 showing to leave the market rather unimpressed. Hence, we believe that

### Key data

IFRS consolidated		2007	2008E	2009E	2010E
Sales	PLN m	1,377.3	1,871.2	2,357.8	3,136.3
EBITDA	PLN m	136.3	248.6	320.1	466.9
EBIT	PLN m	106.3	219.1	288.4	433.6
Net profit	PLN m	101.8	150.5	194.2	298.5
EPS	PLN	7.58	11.21	14.46	22.23
EPS yoy chng	%	68	48	29	54
Net debt	PLN m	257.4	441.4	426.8	439.6
P/E	x	31.4	21.2	16.5	10.7
P/CE	x	24.3	17.8	14.2	9.6
EV/EBITDA	x	25.3	14.6	11.3	7.8
EV/EBIT	x	32.5	16.6	12.6	8.4
EV/Sales	x	2.5	1.9	1.5	1.2
Gross dividend yield	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No. of shares (eop)	ths.	13,430	13,430	13,430	13,430

Source: Company, DM IDMSA estimates

### Stock performance



Source: www.money.pl

### Upcoming events

1. Release of 2Q08 results: August 13, 2008
2. Release of 3Q08 results: October 13, 2008

### Catalysts

1. PBG winning the battle for PGNIG contract (Wierzchowiec)
2. Winning contract for the construction of the second line of metro in Warsaw
3. Winning other large environmental protection and specialist construction contracts
4. Further M&A's this year could prove shareholder-value-accretive

### Risk factors

1. Suspension of PGNIG tenders
2. PBG loses the battle for large contracts (among others for PGNIG)
3. Growing prices of construction materials and salaries
4. FX exposure

the impact of such posting may be at best neutral for the share price performance (negative impact cannot be precluded).

### Financial forecast

We do not change our forecast for PBG.

Fig. 1 PBG; 2Q08 results forecast

IFRS consolidated PLN m	2Q08E		yoy chnng	1-2Q08E		yoy chnng	realization of the FY figures in 2Q		realization of the FY figures in 1-2Q	
	2Q08E	2Q07		1-2Q08E	1-2Q07		2008E	2007	2008E	2007
Sales	528.9	314.8	68%	836.2	449.4	86%	28%	23%	45%	33%
Gross profit on sales	59.2	51.3	16%	99.9	71.5	40%	19%	27%	32%	38%
Gross profit on sales margin	11.2%	16.3%	-	11.9%	15.9%	-	-	-	-	-
EBIT	43.3	31.7	37%	63.9	37.5	70%	20%	30%	29%	35%
EBIT margin	8.2%	10.1%	-	7.6%	8.4%	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-tax profit	42.3	41.1	3%	64.3	55.4	16%	20%	29%	31%	39%
Pre-tax margin	8.0%	13.1%	-	7.7%	12.3%	-	-	-	-	-
Net profit	27.7	31.8	-13%	42.5	40.8	4%	18%	31%	28%	40%
Net margin	5.2%	10.1%	-	5.1%	9.1%	-	-	-	-	-

Source: Company, DM IDMSA estimates

### Valuation

We slightly decrease (by 2% to PLN 348 per share from PLN 356 previously) our 12M EFV assessment for PBG, due to upward adjustment of the definite period risk-free rate assumption (to the current level of LT Treasuries' market yields).

### Recommendation

The expected weakness of the Company's 2Q08 recurring profits should be more than offset, we believe, by the awaited upgrade of the management FY2008 forecast (to materialize in 3Q08) as well as the expected award of further large contracts (Wierzychowice, metro in Warsaw, etc). Consequently, despite mediocre 2Q08E results outlook, we do not change our ST market-relative Overweight bias for PBG. We also keep our LT fundamental Hold rating intact.

### Investment opinion

PBG constitutes probably the best vehicle to capture the growth in the influx of EU funds. Please note that the next three years should bring spending on environmental protection at a level of c. PLN 51 billion, and around half of that should constitute investments in sewage disposal and water protection, which drive the Company's results. We believe PBG should maintain its leading market position as far as the execution of environmental protection and hydro construction contracts is concerned. Moreover, entering the road construction business allows the Company to unlock additional value from realization of complex projects, in our view.

The high growth potential of the Company is reinforced by its M&A strategy. We believe this year should bring further acquisitions. It is likely that PBG will acquire PRG Metro, a company that should support PBG in the prospective construction of a second metro line in Warsaw, which we believe may prove value-accretive.

We like PBG's foreign expansion (Gas & Oil Engineering in Slovakia, Excan Oil and Gas Engineering in Canada) which, we believe, is the way to build a reference for foreign expansion once the flow of EU funds declines. We see numerous triggers on the horizon which should be supportive for the share price performance of PBG, mainly in the form of large tenders to be completed. This year should bring the completion of large tenders for an impressive c. PLN 6.9 billion (Wierzychowice, metro in Warsaw, stadiums). We believe that PBG is well-positioned to win at least one of them.

### Drivers

- ▲ 1. *Further M&A's.* The M&A-oriented strategy should be followed and bring further acquisitions this year. We believe that the next acquisition target could be a road-building company. Moreover, as Hydrobudowa Polska (PBG's 61% subsidiary) aims to take part in the construction of the second metro line in Warsaw (in a consortium with German Alpine Bau and Spanish FCC Construction), PRG Metro (a company that played a key role in construction of the first line) became an acquisition target (HBP has already signed conditional agreements concerning purchase of 56.5% stake in the company).
- ▲ 2. *Large tenders for c. PLN 6.9 billion to be completed this year.* This year should bring the completion of large tenders for an impressive c. PLN 5.6 billion. First, there is tender announced by PGNiG, which is to speed up its investment process (contract for the construction of an underground gas storage facility in Wierzychowice (PLN 1.0 billion)), Second, there is a contract for the construction of the second line of tube in Warsaw for the value of c. PLN 4.9 billion. Third, there are contracts for the construction of stadiums in Poznan and Wroclaw for the value of c. PLN 1 billion. We believe, PBG is well positioned to win at least one of them.

## BASIC DEFINITIONS

**A/R turnover** (in days) =  $365/(\text{sales}/\text{average A/R})$

**Inventory turnover** (in days) =  $365/(\text{COGS}/\text{average inventory})$

**A/P turnover** (in days) =  $365/(\text{COGS}/\text{average A/P})$

**Current ratio** =  $(\text{current assets} - \text{ST deferred assets})/\text{current liabilities}$

**Quick ratio** =  $(\text{current assets} - \text{ST deferred assets} - \text{inventory})/\text{current liabilities}$

**Interest coverage** =  $(\text{pre-tax profit before extraordinary items} + \text{interest payable})/\text{interest payable}$

**Gross margin** =  $\text{gross profit on sales}/\text{sales}$

**EBITDA margin** =  $\text{EBITDA}/\text{sales}$

**EBIT margin** =  $\text{EBIT}/\text{sales}$

**Pre-tax margin** =  $\text{pre-tax profit}/\text{sales}$

**Net margin** =  $\text{net profit}/\text{sales}$

**ROE** =  $\text{net profit}/\text{average equity}$

**ROA** =  $(\text{net income} + \text{interest payable})/\text{average assets}$

**EV** =  $\text{market capitalization} + \text{interest bearing debt} - \text{cash and equivalents}$

**EPS** =  $\text{net profit}/\text{no. of shares outstanding}$

**CE** =  $\text{net profit} + \text{depreciation}$

**Dividend yield** (gross) =  $\text{pre-tax DPS}/\text{stock market price}$

**Cash sales** =  $\text{accrual sales corrected for the change in A/R}$

**Cash operating expenses** =  $\text{accrual operating expenses corrected for the changes in inventories and A/P, depreciation, cash taxes and changes in the deferred taxes}$

DM IDM S.A. generally values the covered non bank companies via two methods: comparative method and DCF method (discounted cash flows). The advantage of the former is the fact that it incorporates the current market assessment of the value of the company's peers. The weakness of the comparative method is the risk that the valuation benchmark may be mispriced. The advantage of the DCF method is its independence from the current market valuation of the comparable companies. The weakness of this method is its high sensitivity to undertaken assumptions, especially those related to the residual value calculation. Please note that we also resort to other valuation techniques (e.g. NAV-, DDM- or SOTP-based), should it prove appropriate in a given case.

## KEY TO INVESTMENT RANKINGS

This is a guide to expected price performance in absolute terms over the next 12 months:

**Buy** – fundamentally undervalued (upside to 12M EFV in excess of the cost of equity) + catalysts which should close the valuation gap identified;

**Hold** – either (i) fairly priced, or (ii) fundamentally undervalued/overvalued but lacks catalysts which could close the valuation gap;

**Sell** – fundamentally overvalued (12M EFV < current share price + 1-year cost of equity) + catalysts which should close the valuation gap identified.

This is a guide to expected relative price performance:

**Overweight** – expected to perform better than the benchmark (WIG) over the next quarter in relative terms

**Neutral** – expected to perform in line with the benchmark (WIG) over the next quarter in relative terms

**Underweight** – expected to perform worse than the benchmark (WIG) over the next quarter in relative terms

The recommendation tracker presents the performance of DM IDMSA's recommendations. A recommendation expires on the day it is altered or on the day 12 months after its issuance, whichever comes first. Relative performance compares the rate of return on a given recommended stock in the period of the recommendation's validity (i.e. from the date of issuance to the date of alteration or – in case of maintained recommendations – from the date of issuance to the current date) in a relation to the rate of return on the benchmark in this time period. The WIG index constitutes the benchmark. For recommendations that expire by an alteration or are maintained, the ending values used to calculate their absolute and relative performance are: the stock closing price on the day the recommendation expires/ is maintained and the closing value of the benchmark on that date. For recommendations that expire via a passage of time, the ending values used to calculate their absolute and relative performance are: the average of the stock closing prices for the day the recommendation elapses and four directly preceding sessions and the average of the benchmark's closing values for the day the recommendation expires and four directly preceding sessions.

## Banks

**Net Interest Margin (NIM)** =  $\text{net interest income}/\text{average assets}$

**NIM Adjusted** =  $(\text{net interest income adjusted for SWAPs})/\text{average assets}$

**Non interest income** =  $\text{fees\&commissions} + \text{result on financial operations (trading gains)} + \text{FX gains}$

**Interest Spread** =  $(\text{interest income}/\text{average interest earning assets})/(\text{interest cost}/\text{average interest bearing liabilities})$

**Cost/Income** =  $(\text{general costs} + \text{depreciation} + \text{other operating costs})/(\text{profit on banking activity} + \text{other operating income})$

**ROE** =  $\text{net profit}/\text{average equity}$

**ROA** =  $\text{net income}/\text{average assets}$

**Non performing loans (NPL)** = loans in 'substandard', 'doubtful' and 'lost' categories

**NPL coverage ratio** =  $\text{loan loss provisions}/\text{NPL}$

**Net provision charge** =  $\text{provisions created} - \text{provisions released}$

DM IDM S.A. generally values the covered banks via two methods: comparative method and fundamental target fair P/E and target fair P/BV multiples method. The advantage of the former is the fact that it incorporates the current market assessment of the value of the company's peers. The weakness of the comparative method is the risk that the valuation benchmark may be mispriced. The advantage of the fundamental target fair P/E and target fair P/BV multiples method is its independence of the current market valuation of the comparable companies. The weakness of this method is its high sensitivity to undertaken assumptions, especially those related to the residual value calculation.

Assumptions used in valuation can change, influencing thereby the level of the valuation. Among the most important assumptions are: GDP growth, forecasted level of inflation, changes in interest rates and currency prices, employment level and change in wages, demand on the analysed company products, raw material prices, competition, standing of the main customers and suppliers, legislation changes, etc.

Changes in the environment of the analysed company are monitored by analysts involved in the preparation of the recommendation, estimated, incorporated in valuation and published in the recommendation whenever needed.

*LT fundamental recommendation tracker*

Recommendation		Issue date	Reiteration date	Expiry date	Performance	Relative performance	Price at issue/reiteration (PLN)	12M EFV (PLN)	
<b>PBG</b>									
Hold	-	06.02.2008	-	Not later than 06.02.2009	-15%	3%	281.60	319.00	-
-	→	-	17.02.2008	-	-	-	317.90	319.00	→
-	→	-	21.02.2008	-	-	-	326.70	352.00	↑
-	→	-	30.03.2008	-	-	-	325.00	358.00	↑
-	→	-	14.04.2008	-	-	-	311.00	357.00	↑
-	→	-	29.04.2008	-	-	-	302.80	361.00	↑
-	→	-	15.05.2008	-	-	-	315.00	361.00	→
-	→	-	01.06.2008	-	-	-	318.00	361.00	→
-	→	-	29.06.2008	-	-	-	268.00	356.00	↓
-	→	-	08.07.2008	-	-	-	238.00	348.00	↓

*Market-relative recommendation tracker*

Relative recommendation		Issue date	Reiteration date	Expiry date	Price at issue/reiteration (PLN)	Relative performance
<b>PBG</b>						
Overweight	-	06.02.2008	-	Not later than 06.02.2009	281.60	3%
-	→	-	17.02.2008	-	317.90	-
-	→	-	21.02.2008	-	326.70	-
-	→	-	30.03.2008	-	325.00	-
-	→	-	14.04.2008	-	311.00	-
-	→	-	29.04.2008	-	302.80	-
-	→	-	15.05.2008	-	315.00	-
-	→	-	01.06.2008	-	318.00	-
-	→	-	29.06.2008	-	268.00	-
-	→	-	08.07.2008	-	238.00	-

*Distribution of IDM's current recommendations*

	Buy	Hold	Sell	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	24	17	4	1	0
Percentage	52%	37%	9%	2%	0%

*Distribution of IDM's current recommendations for companies that were within the last 12M IDM customers in investment banking*

	Buy	Hold	Sell	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	3	2	0	1	0
Percentage	50%	33%	0%	17%	0%

*Distribution of IDM's current market relative recommended weightings*

	Overweight	Neutral	Underweight	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	19	20	6	1	0
Percentage	41%	43%	13%	2%	0%

*Distribution of IDM's current market relative recommended weightings for the companies that were within the last 12M IDM customers in investment banking*

	Overweight	Neutral	Underweight	Suspended	Under revision
Numbers	3	2	0	1	0
Percentage	50%	33%	0%	17%	0%

**Institutional sales**

Director – **Dariusz Wareluk**  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 12  
d.wareluk@idmsa.pl

**Leszek Mackiewicz**  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 23  
l.mackiewicz@idmsa.pl

**Maciej Bąk**  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 14  
m.bak@idmsa.pl

**Bartosz Zieliński**  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 13  
b.zielinski@idmsa.pl

**Research**

**Sobiesław Pająk, CFA**  
(IT, Media, Equity strategy)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 70  
s.pajak@idmsa.pl

**Sylwia Jaśkiewicz, CFA**  
(Construction materials, Retail, Mid-caps)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 78  
s.jaskiewicz@idmsa.pl

**Maciej Wewiórski**  
(Commodities, Construction, Real estate)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 62  
m.wewiorski@idmsa.pl

**Michał Sobolewski**  
(Banks)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 77  
m.sobolewski@idmsa.pl

**Jakub Viscardi**  
(Telco, Retail)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 69  
j.viscardi@idmsa.pl

**Adrian Kyrccz**  
(Construction)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 74  
a.kyrccz@idmsa.pl

**Łukasz Prokopiuk**  
(Associate)  
tel.: +48 (22) 489 94 72  
l.prokopiuk@idmsa.pl

This report is for information purposes only. Neither the information nor the opinions expressed in the report constitute a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any securities referred herein. The opinions expressed in the report reflect independent, current judgement of DM IDM S.A. Securities. This report was prepared with due diligence and scrutiny. The information used in the report is based on all public sources such as press and branch publications, company's financial statements, current and periodic reports, as well as meetings and telephone conversations with company's representatives. We believe the above mentioned sources of information to be reliable, however we do not guarantee their accuracy and completeness. All estimates and opinions included in the report represent our judgment as of the date of the issue. The legal entity supervising DM IDM S.A. is Financial Supervision Commission in Warsaw (KNF in Polish abbreviation).

IDM does not take any responsibility for decisions taken on the basis of this report and opinions stated in it. Investors bear all responsibility for investment decisions taken on the basis of the contents of this report. The report is intended exclusively for private use of investors – customers of IDM. No part or excerpt of the report may be redistributed, reproduced or conveyed in any manner or form written or oral without the prior written consent of IDM. This report is released to customers the moment it is issued and the whole report is made available to the public one month after the issuance.

The analyst(s) responsible for covering the securities in this report receives compensation based upon the overall profitability of IDM which includes profits derived from investment banking activities, although the analyst compensation is not directly related thereto.

IDM releases analytical reports via mail or electronic mail to selected clients (professional clients).

Apart from mentioned above, there are no ties of any kind between DM IDM S.A., the analyst/analysts involved in the preparation of the report and his/her relatives and the company/ companies analyzed in this publication, especially in the form of: i) offering of financial instruments in the primary market or/and Initial Public Offer within 12 months preceding the issue of this report, ii) purchasing and selling of financial instruments for own account due to tasks connected with organization of the regulated market, iii) purchasing and selling of financial instruments due to underwriting agreements and iv) the role of a market maker for securities analysed by IDM. The analysed company/companies does/do not possess DM IDM S.A. shares.

IDM has not signed with the company/companies any contracts for recommendation writing. Investors should assume that DM IDM S.A. is seeking or will seek business relationships with the company/companies described in this report. The report was not shown to the analyzed company/companies before the distribution of the report to clients.